Articular cartilage injuries involving the knee are common, occurring in up to 60% of arthroscopic evaluations. Tibiofemoral articulation involvement is common and most often identified on the medial femoral condyle. The natural history of untreated osteochondral lesions is unpredictable with regard to disease progression and subsequent development of osteoarthritis. Symptomatic progression is likely dependent on multiple injury and patient characteristics; to include, chondral injury size, location, depth, concomitant intra-articular pathology, limb alignment, patient age, activity level, and comorbid conditions.

Articular cartilage has a limited healing capacity because of the relative avascularity and the subsequent separation from pluripotential cells. As a result, osteochondral defects can be filled with biomechanically inferior fibrocartilage composed primarily of type II collagen. The osteochondral defect or fibrocartilage response may cause pain, mechanical symptoms, and alter articular contact forces, which may lead to progressive articular cartilage degradation. The goal of intervention is to reduce pain and mechanical symptoms, restore articular congruity, and possibly prevent further injury.

A number of surgical options are available for management of articular cartilage injuries. These options cover a wide range in patient morbidity, cost, rehabilitation requirements, and technical difficulty. Although a variety of cartilage procedures have been described, the most commonly performed procedures can be grouped into arthroscopic debridement (chondroplasty), fragment fixation, marrow stimulation, osteochondral grafting (allograft and autograft), and autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI).

**GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Articular cartilage injuries are managed while considering injury and patient characteristics. Patient-specific variables to consider are patient age, symptoms, activity limitations, treatment history, future activity or sport demands, and ability to participate in rehabilitation. In addition, comorbidities, social history (tobacco use), and weight (body mass index) can significantly affect treatment options and clinical outcomes.

Indications for operative management of articular cartilage injuries are symptomatic, focal cartilage lesions in a physiologically young patient. Chronologic age in the fifth decade has been cited as a relative contraindication to a cartilage restoration procedure because of presumed diffuse disease, short-term relief of symptoms, and the success of arthroplasty solutions. However, our decision algorithm is based more on physiologic age, activity level, and our assessment of the osteochondral lesion. Successful treatment of osteochondral lesions is predicated on first addressing associated pathologic conditions, such as ligamentous stability, meniscal deficiency, and limb malalignment.

**EVALUATION**

Preoperative evaluation is initiated with a detailed history and physical examination. Specific information to illicit is a history of trauma, details surrounding the onset of symptoms, presence of mechanical symptoms, and complaints of instability. The location, character, and severity of the chief complaint must be attributable to the identified chondral injury to ensure improvement with surgery. Physical examination of the knee for chondral injuries of the tibio-femoral articulation is focused on excluding other pathology. A thorough knee examination evaluating for effusion, crepitus, meniscal injury, or ligamentous stability will ensure that all pathology is addressed and increase the chance of successful clinical outcome.

Preoperative radiographic work-up includes a weight-bearing anteroposterior, 45-degrees flexion posteroanterior, lateral, and merchant views. We routinely obtain full-length standing bilateral hip to ankle alignment radiographs on any patients with chondral lesions. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is useful for evaluating cartilage lesions and concomitant intra-articular pathology. T2 and Proton-density weighted fast spin echo and T1-weighted gradient echo sequences provide the best visualization of articular cartilage lesions.
MRI can also be used to assess osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) lesions and assist with preoperative planning.5–8

Chondral injuries have been classified by multiple investigators as a means of improving communication between physicians, guide management, and provide a framework for academic discussion.5,9–11 A modification of the Outerbridge classification or the International Cartilage Repair Society is most commonly used (Table 1).

### TREATMENT

Initial nonoperative management includes rest, analgesics, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory medications, activity restrictions, and physical therapy for strength and conditioning. MRI or clinical evidence of an intraarticular loose body or an unstable OCD lesion warrants early surgical intervention. There is no evidence to support intra-articular steroid injections, hyaluronic acid, or glucosamine and chondroitin for the treatment of focal cartilage lesions. For physiologically older patients with diffuse osteoarthritis or 1 compartment disease, total knee arthroplasty or unicompartmental knee arthroplasty should be considered.

A number of useful algorithms have been published for selection of the appropriate procedure to treat cartilage defects.4,12–14 Numerous patient and injury factors must be considered to offer the appropriate intervention to a patient. The investigators prefer the use of the treatment algorithm proposed by Cole et al (Fig. 1). Although this approach focuses on the size of the chondral defect and the activity level of the patient, it does not account for the myriad of factors that are typically considered when treating these patients. However, it does provide a foundation from which these lesions can be approached. A dichotomization is made based upon activity into “low-demand” and “high-demand” patients. Military patients (despite athletic activity or job classification) can all be considered high-demand patients.

### TABLE 1. ICRS and Modified Outerbridge Classification for Articular Cartilage Injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ICRS</th>
<th>Modified Outerbridge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soft indentation, superficial fissures, and cracks</td>
<td>Softening and swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lesions extending down &lt; 50% of depth</td>
<td>Fragmentation and fissuring; &lt; 15 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A. &gt; 50% of depth</td>
<td>Fragmentation and fissuring to subchondral bone; &gt; 15 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Down to calcified layer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Down to subchondral bone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Cartilage blistering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Through subchondral bone</td>
<td>Exposed subchondral bone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICRS indicates International Cartilage Repair Society.

---

**FIGURE 1.** Treatment algorithm for chondral effects of the femoral condyle. Adapted with permission from *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2009;91:1778–1790. ACI indicates autologous chondrocyte implantation; OATS, osteoarticular transfer system; OCA, osteochondral allografting; MFX, microfracture.
Limb alignment, ligamentous instability, and meniscal pathology should be addressed when treating focal cartilage injuries. These procedures can be performed at the same surgical setting or before surgical management of the cartilage injury in a staged manner. If a staged procedure is planned, the osteotomy should precede ligamentous or chondral work. Surgical outcomes from all of the cartilage treatment procedures have worse outcomes because of shear forces and increased contact stresses associated with these conditions. The investigators routinely perform hip to ankle alignment radiographs to assess malalignment and rely on physical examination and MRI to rule out meniscal and/or ligament deficiency. Medial compartment chondral disease in patients with varus malalignment should be treated in conjunction with an opening wedge high-tibial osteotomy (Figs. 2A–E). The patients with lateral compartment disease and valgus malalignment should undergo distal femoral osteotomy (Figs. 3A–G). Ligamentous instability should be corrected with cruciate and/or collateral reconstructions. Meniscal deficiency should be corrected with meniscal allograft transplantation (Figs. 3A–G). Once the mechanical alignment and concomitant meniscal and ligamentous deficiency is corrected, the appropriate chondral technique is selected.

Chondroplasty
Arthroscopic debridement and lavage is the first-line arthroscopic treatment of cartilage injuries. It does not require additional instrumentation, has a low morbidity to the patient, and allows for a rapid return to activity. The goal of the procedure is to remove loose flaps of cartilage, smooth irregular cartilaginous borders, and to lavage inflammatory cytokines from the joint. The procedure is performed with a standard arthroscopic shaver. Loose bodies, unstable flaps, and

FIGURE 2. A 38-year-old active duty officer presented with a medial knee pain after undergoing a right autograft osteochondral transfer to his medial femoral condyle 10 years ago. His staging arthroscopy image (A) showed diffuse loss of articular cartilage with some maintenance at the site of osteochondral plugs. He underwent an open-wedge-high-tibial osteotomy for his varus deformity (B) and a subsequent allograft osteochondral transplantation for his diffuse chondral damage (C) using a single 30 mm plug (D). Final postoperative anteroposterior radiograph is shown (E).
irregular borders are debrided to a smooth surface while preserving the intact surrounding hyaline cartilage. The procedure is most commonly indicated for small, partial thickness cartilage lesions, patients with diffuse disease, or patients unwilling to comply with the rehabilitation restrictions associated with cartilage restoration procedures. Patients are able to progress through physical therapy without weight-bearing or range of motion restrictions and resume full activity within a few weeks.

Fixation

Fixation of osteochondral defects are most commonly indicated for OCD lesions and acute traumatic osteochondral fractures larger than 1 cm. The fragment must have adequate subchondral bone to allow osseous union. Fixation is indicated for International Cartilage Repair Society OCD II to IV lesions (Table 2). The fragment is assessed with a probe arthroscopically. If the fragment is unstable with probing, there is sufficient subchondral bone on the fragment, and anatomic reduction can be achieved, internal fixation is performed arthroscopically assisted or open.

Osteochondral fixation of unstable fragments begins with preparation of the fragment and the recipient site. An arthroscopic shaver, curette, or rasp is used to remove nonviable tissue. If the fragment has an intact hinge, it can often be reduced arthroscopically with a probe. Lesions with excessive bone loss may require bone grafting to ensure anatomic alignment of the articular surface. Often accessory portals or small open arthrotomies are necessary for fragment access, reduction, and fixation. With the fragment reduced provisional stabilization can be achieved with the guidewire of the cannulated fixation device (Figs. 4A–C). If the fragment is large enough, 2 screws will provide superior rotational stability. Fluoroscopic guidance is necessary to avoid crossing the physis in skeletally immature patients.

**FIGURE 3.** A 22-year-old active duty soldier presented with posttraumatic chondral and bone loss (A) in his lateral femoral condyle after undergoing open reduction internal fixation of a distal femoral fracture. He had a valgus deformity (B and C) and was deficient of his lateral meniscus. He underwent a distal femoral osteotomy, lateral femoral condyle allograft osteochondral transplantation, and a lateral meniscus transplantation (D and E). His final alignment was symmetric and balanced (F and G).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. ICRS Classification for OCD Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ICRS OCD Classification</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRS OCD I Stable, continuity: softened area covered by intact cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRS OCD II Partial discontinuity, stable on probing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRS OCD III Complete discontinuity that are not yet dislocated (“dead in situ”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRS OCD IV Dislocated fragment, loose fragment within the bed, or empty bed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subgroup B for ICRS OCD I-IV indicates defects > 10 mm in depth.

ICRS indicates International Cartilage Repair Society; OCD, osteochondritis dissecans.
For stable, nondisplaced symptomatic OCD lesions, drilling into the subchondral bone with a 0.062 Kirschner wire can stimulate a healing response. If the fragment is stable but MRI shows fluid tracking around the lesion, in-situ fixation can be performed with a headless compression screw or a cannulated screw. Hardware is removed 8 to 12 weeks after fixation. Bioabsorbable implants and osteochondral plugs may be used to obviate the need for hardware removal. Postoperative rehabilitation involves continuous passive motion (CPM) use for 6 hours per day for 6 weeks. The patient is nonweight bearing in a brace when not participating in therapy.

Marrow Stimulation
Marrow stimulation is a comparatively simple and cost-effective technique to generate fibrocartilage formation in a chondral defect that can be used almost anywhere in the tibiofemoral articulation. Postoperative care requires patient compliance with rehabilitation protocols. Osteochondral drilling and microfracture techniques aim to release pluripotential cells and anabolic factors from the marrow to stimulate fibrocartilage fill of the defect. This is a first-line treatment of grade 3 and 4 cartilage defects less than 2 cm². It can also be used on lesions larger than 3 cm in demand patients and on lesions on the tibial plateau because of technical difficulty of other procedures.

Arthroscopic shavers and curettes are used to remove unstable and damaged articular cartilage to subchondral bone. The goal is to create a well-defined lesion with vertical chondral “walls” perpendicular to the subchondral bone surface. Arthroscopic awls are placed 2 to 3 mm apart and to a depth of 4 to 5 mm. With the arthroscopic pump turned off, blood and fat droplets can be seen extravasating from the microfracture sites (Figs. 5A, B). Postoperative rehabilitation involves nonweight bearing and CPM use for 6 hours per day for 6 weeks.

Autograft Osteochondral Transfer
Autologous osteochondral transfer involves the movement of cylindrical plugs of articular cartilage from non-weight-bearing areas of the knee to replace damaged cartilage in weight-bearing areas. It is a more technically demanding procedure, requires special instrumentation, has donor-site morbidity, and requires patients willing to comply with rehabilitation restrictions. However, it can be performed at the same setting of the initial surgery and does not incur the disease transmission risks or the costs associated with allograft osteochondral transplantation. It is indicated for grade 3 and 4 cartilage lesions up to 2.5 cm² in size involving the distal femoral condyles. Articular cartilage harvest sites include the superolateral lateral femoral condyle and intercondylar notch. “Mega-Osteoarticular Transfer System” procedures have been described for treating lesions up to 9 cm² with harvest from the posterior medial femoral condyle.

The procedure is performed arthroscopically or with an associated small arthrotomy for access to the defect. After debridement of the lesion to subchondral bone, the site is drilled to prepare a uniform cylindrical recipient site. Subsequently, an adequate donor site is selected based on defect size. The preferred technique of the investigators is to harvest donor plugs from the lateral edge of the trochlea above the sulcus terminalis through a small arthrotomy and to place...
Implantation was possible up to 28 days after harvest. Storage at 4°C for 4 weeks. Cold coordination is more difficult in obtaining and implanting a cell transfer placed arthroscopically.

The procedure is performed similar to autograft osteochondral transfers, except that a donor harvest is not required. The investigators prefer to use an arthrotomy to ensure precision of plug alignment, orientation, and insertion (Figs. 2A–E). The donor plug can come from the same anatomic location improving the ease of contour matching. Although the articular cartilage is protected from immune surveillance, the subchondral bone on the allograft plug is a theoretic source of graft rejection. As a result, drilling the recipient site socket depth to 6 to 8 mm minimizes immunogenic donor bone. Press-fit fixation for large shallow allograft plugs can be supplemented with headless compression screws, resorbable implants, or cannulated metal screws. However, this is usually unnecessary. Postoperative rehabilitation includes nonweight bearing for 12 weeks to allow sufficient time for allograft incorporation. CPM use is recommended for 6 hours per day for 6 weeks.

**ACI**

ACI is a procedure involving the culturing of autogenous chondrocytes in vitro and implantation of these viable chondrocytes into a chondral defect. The procedure necessitates 2 operations and is currently financially burdensome. However, it is not associated with significant donor-site morbidity or risk of disease transmission. CartiCell (Genzyme, Cambridge, MA) was the first cellular product licensed by the United States Food and Drug Administration and is approved for repair of symptomatic cartilaginous defects of the femoral condyles in patients with an inadequate response to earlier surgical procedures. It is indicated for symptomatic, focal lesions up to 10 cm² in a patient willing to undergo the prolonged rehabilitation. Bipolar “kissing” lesions are a relative contraindication and lesions greater than 6 to 8 mm deep must have a concomitant bone grafting procedure.

The procedure is performed in 2 stages. The first procedure is a harvest of 200 to 300 mg of cartilage from the lateral femoral condyle or intercondylar notch. The cartilage biopsy is sent for processing and cellular expansion. The process takes a minimum of 6 weeks, but the cultured cells can be stored for up to 4 years with cryopreservation. At least 6 weeks later, the cells are received from the laboratory and injected into the donor site. The defect is prepared to create vertical cartilage walls perpendicular to the subchondral bone. Care is taken not to violate the subchondral bone, which may allow influx of pluripotent cells and subsequent healing with fibrocartilage. A harvested periosteal patch from the proximal tibia or a collagen membrane is sewn over the cartilage defect with 6-0 suture in 5 mm intervals and sealed with fibrin glue. A small pocket on the superior aspect of the flap is left open for injection of the cultured cells. Final sutures and fibrin glue are placed to seal the lesion (Fig. 7).

Postoperative rehabilitation includes nonweight bearing for 12 weeks and CPM use 6 hours per day for 6 weeks. The

**FIGURE 6.** Arthroscopic view of the left knee medial femoral condyle lesion treated with single 10 mm autologous chondrocyte transfer placed arthroscopically.

**FIGURE 7.** Autologous chondrocyte implantation performed on medial femoral condyle lesion (note good exposure provided by tibial tubercle osteotomy for treatment of concomitant patella lesion).
rehabilitation process is prolonged because of the time required to process the harvested chondrocytes and arrange reimplantation. Return to full activities is anticipated at 12 to 18 months after implantation. The length of rehabilitation and prolonged course away from full activities limits its use in competitive athletes and military personnel.

**DISCUSSION**

Clinical success of cartilage repair or restoration procedures is predicated on ligamentous stability, appropriate mechanical alignment, and treatment of meniscal pathology. Radiographic work-up can assist in surgical staging of the necessary procedures. The aforementioned pathology should be addressed before or during the definitive cartilage procedure. The various treatment options for chondral defects have reported variable success. Interpretation of the literature is challenging because of a lack of quality prospective studies, and the heterogeneous nature of these complex knee injuries and patients.

Microfracture is commonly the first-line treatment offered to patients with a focal grade 3 or 4 cartilaginous defects smaller than 2 cm². Microfracture clinical results are better in patients younger than 30 to 40 years of age and with defects involving the femoral condyles.17–23 Good-to-excellent results can be expected in 60% to 80% of patients with appropriately selected contained, isolated lesions of the femoral condyles in patients treated with microfracture.17,22,26 Defect size larger than 2 to 4 cm² is associated with poorer clinical outcomes after microfracture.24–27 Knutsen et al27 reported no difference in radiographic, histologic, or clinical outcomes of focal condyle lesions treated with microfracture compared with ACI at 5 years. The investigators did note a trend toward more hyaline cartilage after ACI (P = 0.08). Microfracture is a safe first-line treatment of focal cartilaginous defects that can be performed in 1 setting, without donor-site morbidity, risk of disease transmission, need for special equipment or allograft specimens, no additional cost, and does not preclude the use of restorative procedures in the future.

ACI can be used to treat chondral defects up to 10 cm² without the risk of disease transmission associated with allograft osteochondral transplantation or the donor-site morbidity associated with autograft osteochondral transfer. The downside to ACI is the high financial cost associated with the procedure, the need for 2 surgical procedures, and the prolonged time required for rehabilitation. Recent advancements have obviated the need for periosteal patch harvest by covering the defect with microfracture tissue.40 Allografts do not require human leukocyte antigen or blood-type matching. The osseous component of the graft expresses surface antigens that can elicit an immune response. Processing and techniques to minimize operative time, faster rehabilitation, and allow access to lesions not amenable to open techniques,29,30...
CONCLUSIONS

Autograft osteochondral transfer, allograft osteochondral transplantation, ACI, fragment fixation, and microfracture have all showed successful clinical outcomes in the appropriately selected patient. There have been no long-term prospective functional outcome studies that have definitively proven superior results with any of the cartilage restoration procedures. Chondroplasty and lavage is a reasonable option in lower demand or older patients who want to avoid prolonged rehabilitation. Microfracture is a good first-line option in treating contained osteochondral defects smaller than 2 cm² of the femoral condyles or tibial plateau in high and low-demand patients willing to comply with rehabilitation. Autograft osteochondral transfer is an effective second-line option to provide native hyaline cartilage to a femoral condyle lesion and to avoid the expense, delay, and risks associated with ACI or allograft osteochondral transplantation. ACI or allograft transplantation have shown good clinical results treating defects larger than 2 cm² for patient willing to undergo prolonged rehabilitation and understand the risks of each procedure. The risks, additional procedures, and expense of these procedures are likely acceptable costs for high-demand patients.
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